

"New Look" Searchlight, Same Old Poison

"New Look" Searchlight, Same Old Poison

When the March 1999 issue of Searchlight magazine was printed it ran to 36 pages, increased from the usual 24. The cover price had risen from £1.50 to £2.50, and the 50% larger format had changed in other ways. For one thing, the magazine's contributors had taken to signing most of their articles, a trend which had started a few months previously. By no means the least of the major changes was the fact that long term editor and hatemonger Gerry Gable had taken a back seat, the magazine is now co-edited, unsurprisingly, by his co-racialist Steve Silver, and, curiously, by a fully paid up member of the British National Party, Nick Lowles. (1)

This is the NEW Searchlight, readers are told; we're not just bigger, we're better. We still have the same commitment to ferreting out "fascists" and dismantling the gas chamber in the BNP's Welling Bunker, but from now on we'll be even more efficient. The Searchlight Organisation has always been its own number one fan, but as somebody once said, self-praise is no praise, although for once we are in agreement, the Kosher crazies and their goy fellow travellers have indeed excelled themselves in recent months, primarily by plundering the public purse of over a hundred and fifty grand, money that could have been used for some truly noble purpose, such as clearing the streets of the capital of homeless young men, women, and an increasing number of children, rather than being wasted on a clique of hate-filled Jews peddling the antidote to the non-existent Nazi menace, and shoving their own brand of racial hatred down the throats of the gullible goyim in the name of tolerance.

Characteristically, news of the windfall of hard-earned National Lottery money picked up by Searchlight magazine's sister organisation was first broken to the world by the forever wailing and gnashing of teeth Jewish Chronicle. (2) Three weeks later, the same paper published a hagiographic report - by political editor Bernard Josephs - on Gable's semi-retirement, complete with the usual lies and errors, and also with (for Gable) unfortunate candour. (3)

Gable is said to have been a thorn in the side of the far right for more than thirty years. Not just the far right, it might be added. Larry O'Hara who has been the victim of a Searchlight hate campaign for the past seven years is hardly far right, in spite of the Kosher crazies' ludicrous attempts to portray this creeping, self-styled "anti-racist" schmuck as a Nazi fellow traveller.

Anarchists have long refused to have any truck with Gable's hate machine, and he is widely, and incorrectly, perceived by many of them to be some sort of latter day Kosher James Bond reporting back to his masters at M.I.5. on the activities of such "radicals". This list could be extended but the point has been made

"Some critics have derided [Gable's] dedication to the cause as an obsession." Obsession is not necessarily a bad thing, so we'll let that one pass, Bernard, but "Others have accused him of exaggerating the threat from the likes of the British National Party and Combat-18." The others includes a few journalists, but not enough, unfortunately. However, writing in 1996, one correspondent for the Sunday heavies summed up Gable with poignant accuracy as "a conspiracy theorist who could spot Nazi architecture in a kindergarten sandpit". (4)

Gable's decision to step down as editor is said to be a logical step for a man with five children, seven grandchildren and a great grandson. Only five children? When Gable was interviewed by the same paper in 1987 he was said then to be a father of five, "one a kibbutznik and Israeli Army sergeant", (5) who no doubt the following year engaged in more Jewish tolerance by shooting a few Palestinian schoolchildren during the *Intifada*. Since this interview, Gable has become a father again, thanks to his fourth wife - yes, fourth wife! - Sonia. So doesn't Gerry G have six Kosher kids rather than five? Sweet Sonia gets a mention in this article too: "While a student, she was recruited by her future husband, and she infiltrated the League of St George and the National Front."

Oh no she didn't Bernard, as you well know. Both the National Front and the League of St. George were, and always have been, legal organisations, so it is not necessary for "spies" to "infiltrate" them, they can simply join. And that is what the future Mrs Gable - then Sonia Hochfelder - did; she joined the National Front, and she joined the League of St. George, and while she was a member of the latter she was quite open about her Jewish origins and not only made no attempt to hide them but wrote passionately in defence of Zionism. (6) In

other words, the future Mrs Gable was no manner of spy, agent, "mole" or Kosher Mata Hari, and however many times you affirm the opposite you're still a lying piece of *treifah* scum, Gerry. (7) She was a dedicated racial-nationalist, a Jewish quisling, a Jewish Nazi. That's who you share your bed with Gerry, a Jewish Nazi. Okay, former Jewish Nazi, we'll concede, but what's done is done, Gerry.

Gable claims in this article to have gained a Master's degree in criminology, a claim he has made, apparently, since about 1995. Attempts to confirm his MA have so far proved fruitless but we'll give him the benefit of the

doubt here.

We will not of course even attempt to condone the hate mail he receives, nor the letter bomb he is alleged to have received on one occasion. (8) With regard to the former though, it is inevitable that a lowlife like Gable

should make political and personal enemies, and, no doubt, some of them have genuine grievances.

Gable is said to have begun his fight against "far-right extremists" after being targeted by Mosleyite activists as a schoolboy. This same story was related in the earlier *Jewish Chronicle* interview, with a few more details. There, Gable was said to have "had to run home under a hail of stones, one of which left a permanent scar", after a teacher at his school told the class at Easter that the Jews had killed Jesus. Gable has told this story elsewhere too, but the only thing it proves is that he knows his Jewish history. During the Middle Ages the good Christian people of England used to stone the Jews at Easter; here is what a reputable Jewish scholar has to say about this subject: "On Good Friday, in many places, they were stoned by the rabble and buffeted by the authorities - sometimes with fatal results." (9)

As stated, Gable knows his Jewish history, and indeed may even have read this very book. We have only his word that he was the victim of a mini-pogrom, and Gable's word isn't worth the proverbial plugged nickel.

Incidentally, the aforementioned book, by Cecil Roth, makes an eloquent defence of Jewry; for example, on page xi, the author comments on playwrights and philanthropists, criminals and revolutionaries, and makes the point that "If the Jewish people is to receive full measure of blame for the one category, it is justified in claiming some measure of credit for the other." It's a pity the Jewish Chronicle, and more generally Organised Jewry, don't face up to the undeniable fact that their ranks contain plenty of lowlife, like Gable, and expose them for what they are, instead of praising them to high heaven like latter day saints.

Still in the present, the JC's political editor refers to Gable's father as an RAF veteran. That sounds mightily impressive, but isn't quite what it sounds. Walter William Gable actually served in the RAF from 1941-6. (10) In other words he was a conscript. Gable has claimed in a legal action (11) that his father actually worked as part of a crash tender crew, "pulling out burned bodies from crashed planes". Contrary to Gable's claims elsewhere (12) I have no wish to belittle his father's contribution to the war effort, only his own attempts to

bask in the reflected glory of an "RAF veteran".

In the same article, Gable says that in the 1960s he came to believe "we were in a war", so started running infiltrators in far right groups. And what were these "infiltrators"? Oy vay! "He recalled one Jewish agent who was blond, blue-eyed and 'built like a brick outhouse". (No Gerry, shithouse is the term). This man is said to have been physically sick when his unwitting comrades told him what they planned to do to the Jews if they ever came to power. And we've all long been physically sick of you, my Kosher crazy. It is of course impossible to validate this story, but if this Kosher mole was anything like Gable's goy agents, it would quite likely have been the Mosleyites who were physically sick, or at the very least a little suspicious, because Gable's "moles" are more likely to incite anti-Jewish hatred and violence than be sickened by it.

Returning to the new look Searchlight, as well as being liars, damned liars, the goys and girls at Searchlight magazine are renowned for their sloppy research. So bad is this that at times they don't even report correctly on their own history. A letter dated March 1999 sent out with complimentary copies of the magazine claims that Searchlight was "First published in 1962". No, it wasn't. According to When Hate Comes To Town, their own grandly titled "Searchlight Community Handbook" (which is advertised on page 14 of the March issue): "In 1964 Searchlight appeared for the first time in newspaper format as an occasional publication." This claim is wrong too; the original Searchlight Organisation, the Searchlight Association Limited, was not incorporated until 1965! Papers filed at Companies House show that it was a "COMPANY LIMITED BY GUARANTEE AND NOT HAVING A SHARE CAPITAL". Its "Memorandum of Association" (840295) is stamped by Companies House "REGISTERED - 9 MAR 1965". There were four, and only four, issues of the broadsheet published from 1965, then no more until the magazine appeared in 1975.

The March 1999 letter, signed Nick & Steve, contains a lot of other blurb, which is just as accurate and just as

worthless.

Gerry G's partial retirement includes contributing the news from the sewers column; very appropriate that he should write that, because that's where he belongs. He also has a contribution on page 23 under OPINION, which asks the question NO PLATFORM, is it The abuse of civil liberties or defence of democracy? Gable

answers his own question with typical disrespect for truth.

Arguing against free speech for the British National Party, he carps on: "Why should we allow people whose views led to the deaths of millions of innocent men, women and children have any say at all?" Here, he claims to be quoting the Reverend Sargent, founder of the Yellow Star Movement, after an altercation with members of Sir Oswald Mosley's post-war Union Movement. In fact, it is most unlikely that Sargent ever said any such thing, because he resigned from the Yellow Star Movement when it was hijacked by Jewish thugs who replaced his Christian tolerance with the all too common version of Jewish tolerance. (13) Uncharacteristically, Gable raises not only the spectre of Auschwitz but also that of the recent horrors in Rwanda in this polemic. Whatever, his arguments are disingenuous.

"The German authorities upheld Hitler's democratic rights on many occasions. The result: once Hitler came to power he put an end to democracy..." Not at once he didn't, Gerry. In any case, it was not the subversion of German democracy but the subversion of individual rights that was the root cause of the real horrors of Nazism. In Britain over the past thirty and more years we have seen so-called democracy used and abused by all manner of vested interests - including Jewish hatemongers - to subvert and destroy the rights of all manner of groups and individuals on the pretext of preserving democracy. We have in fact seen both the tyranny of the majority

and the tyranny of highly organised, noisy and tiresome minorities.

The British National Party is said to promote "policies of violence and hate". Of course, if the BNP were to advocate violence and hate there would be no need for "anti-fascists" to attempt to deny this legal political party any sort of platform because it would be shut down by the authorities. There are, quite properly, laws against incitement to violence and murder, although as to be expected, for our Kosher cretins and their goy fellow travellers these laws are nowhere near strong enough, as was evinced by the recent prosecution and, incredibly, conviction, of leading BNP activist Nick Griffin for "incitement to racial hatred" at the instigation of Gable's co-racialist and establishment politician Alex Carlile. Griffin's "crime" was in essence a plea for white women to have more children, something Gable and his kind obviously find deeply offensive, unless the white women concerned are of the same mentality as Sharron Davies.

Gable argues that those who support freedom of speech for the BNP are - if not fascists themselves -"people, with little perception of real life who try to appear smart and liberal by quoting Voltaire's pledge to

defend with his life the freedom of speech of those whose views he might hate."

In fact Gerry, if you had a bit more perception you would realise that Voltaire never said any such thing,

although he would certainly have shared that opinion. (14)

Although he doesn't quite overstep the mark in this polemic, Gable comes perilously close to suggesting that "anti-fascists" resort to illegal methods in order to deny the British National Party - and anyone else whose views he finds distasteful - any sort of platform. Gable's suggestion that this is a "defence of democracy" is as facile as everything else about this self-style anti-fascist magazine in its silver jubilee year. What he is really saying is that you stupid govim need protecting, and who is going to protect you? Us Jews. It is us Jews who decide who is a fascist, a racist, an anti-Semite or an enemy of democracy. It is us Jews and only us Jews who are capable of seeing through shallow arguments about racial identity, self-determination, and all the other baggage of these wicked race-haters. Unless you are protected by us Jews, you'll be marching down Welling High Street with the BNP, and the next thing you know you'll be sending us Jews, the blacks and Mr Patel in his corner shop to the gas chambers. You stupid goyim need protecting from yourselves, and because democracy alone can't be trusted to protect you from yourselves, it is the duty of us Jews to protect you. Trust

Of course, there is indeed a tiny minority of people who will be inflamed to hatred, violence or even murder by race agitators, but such agitation is hardly the sole preserve of racists, indeed, when one considers the crimes that have been perpetrated in the name of religion, the horrors inflicted on humankind by racists and fascists - real and imagined - pale into insignificance. The greatest irony of racism though is that the most dreadful crimes are generally perpetrated not by white on black, or black on white but by white on white or black on black. Although the Holocaust has been greatly exaggerated there is no denying the very real crimes perpetrated against the Jews by their fellow Germans, yet most Germans, indeed most Nazis, would have been hard pressed to distinguish a Jew from a full-blooded Aryan. (15) Indeed, as I write these words, NATO forces

are bombing the former Yugoslavia, ostensibly to prevent the "ethnic cleansing" of white Albanians by white Serbs. (16)

The real purpose of denying the BNP and its fellow travellers any sort of platform though is not to prevent the minds of the gullible *goyim* from being poisoned by "fascists", but to enable their minds to be poisoned by Gable and his *goy*-hating gang. If fascists have no platform at all, when Jews - or anyone else for that matter - decide who is a fascist, they in effect will decide who has free speech and who doesn't. In other words, anyone who disagrees with them, or who crosses swords with them, will be permanently excluded. This can mean literally anyone, and to show the depths to which scum, filth and lowlife like Gable will sink in order to smear their perceived enemies as "fascists", ad nauseum, we can do no better than condemn this piece of ghetto sweepings out of his own mouth.

In 1993, Gable bit off more than he could chew when his libellous gutter rag turned its guns on the current writer. I sued him for libel, and after much writhing and evasiveness, (17) Gable, who had branded me, among other things, a fascist, (18) was ordered by the court to particularise his defence. One of the "facts" he relied on to "prove" my alleged fascist sympathies was that I had collaborated on several publications with Mark Taha, a "fascist", see pages 5-6. Of course, it doesn't follow automatically that if Taha is a fascist and Baron has collaborated with Taha that Baron too is a fascist, but let's take one hurdle at a time. On what grounds, Gerry G, do you brand Mark Taha a fascist? (19)

Two "facts" are pleaded: the first "fact" is that Mark attended a meeting held at Kensington Library in May 1991, and the only place he could have obtained a ticket for this meeting was through a "fascist" distributor or similar magazine. The second "fact" is that Mark wrote a letter to a "fascist" magazine, and that letter was published therein. Not exactly the most damning of evidence, even at face value, but considerably less damning when put into context.

In the first instance, it is not entirely true that tickets were available only through "fascist" outlets, because some people who attended this meeting had forged tickets, and Gable surely knows this, because he went to the meeting with them. Yes, dear reader, Gerry Gable did attend this same "fascist" meeting, but isn't Gerry an "anti-fascist"?

Gable's antics at this meeting - and those of his friends from Red Action - were reported in the *Guardian* the following year when he stood trial in connection with the ensuing affray. He was accused of exercising control over those engaged in violent acts - perish the thought. More to the point, he was cleared because "there has been from more than one prosecution witness a suggestion that Mr Gable...moved to stop the violence." (20) Gable was said to have attended the meeting as a journalist while around sixty people invaded without tickets. (21)

Some might suggest that Gable attempted to stop the affray because he deplores violence, a cynic might suggest though that Gerry was protecting his fascist chums. (22) Incidentally, although Mark Taha did indeed purchase a ticket for that meeting, he didn't actually attend it because he was attacked on his way in and was carted away in an ambulance. Among other things, his glasses were smashed. Now, what about Mark's alleged letter to Spearhead? In the first instance, Spearhead was never the magazine of the National Front but was always - and remains - an independent magazine published by John Tyndall, although at the time when Tyndall was with the Front - much of that time as its leader - it supported the National Front. If the reader is inclined to dismiss this as plain old-fashioned nit-picking I should add that in 1986 Spearhead was not in any way associated with the National Front because in 1980 Tyndall broke away from the Front to form the New National Front (later renamed the British National Party). Although Tyndall claimed the reason for this was a homosexual network operating inside the Front (in reality Martin Webster and his homosexual lover Michael Salt), the real reason he split was because the Front's directorate refused to grant him dictatorial powers over the organisation. In other words, the National Front was thoroughly democratic.

By 1986 what was left of the National Front had split into several factions, each bitterly denouncing the other, and the faction which retained the name published a newspaper - not a magazine - called *The Flag*. It was this publication which Mark Taha wrote to in 1986, as Gable - a renowned "expert" on the far right, remember - would later admit. Now, what was the content of this letter? "Let us reinstate the Third Reich in Britain under a new Führer". No, well, how about "The Jew is a poisonous maggot?" (23) Okay then, how about "Let's round up all the blacks and Asians and send them to the gas chambers". No, not that either. Mark Taha's letter to *The Flag* is reproduced on the following page.

ific ent be use live less ray eto erts Job a s at

to know.

N.B. Birmingham 45.

Wrong about Bruno

AS A fight fan, may I say that I think you exaggerate in your article on Frank Bruno.

Most of his opponents were, I admit, pretty lousy - but 'none remotely up to the top flight'. What about former, and not too distant, world champion Gerrie Coetze. who I watched him demolish in half a round!

Mark Taha, EALING. Join the annual parade to the Cenotaph organised by the National Front.

Meet Bressenden Place 2.00pm.

Wear your poppy with pride.

SUBSCRIPTION RATES

Take out a subscription to THE FLAG and get two copies of the next 12 issues sent direct to you on publication day. Send your cheque for £9.00, made payable to Flag Newspapers to P.O.Box 230, Worthing, Sussex BN14 8EG.

2. Request

State all facts and matters relied on as showing Kitty Little, Joy Page and Mark Taha are or were anti-Semites and/or fascists.

Top: The letter Mark Taha wrote to *The Flag* in 1986, which according to Gable's sick Jewish mind, is evidence of Taha's anti-Semitic/fascist sympathies. Bottom and next page: Extracts from Gable's Further & Better Particulars in Baron v Gable, 1993 & 1994.

Answer

a) Kitty Little

- i) She was the author of "Mammon versus God" an anti-Semitic book.
- ii) She published the anti-Semitic book "The Longest Hatred" by Lady Birdwood.

b) Joy Page

i) She was an office-holder and activist with the Immigration Control
Association and the London Counties Tenants' Association in the
late 1960's and early 1970's.

c) Mark Taha

- on 25th May 1991 he attended a talk by Jeffrey Hamm who was a long time associate of Oswald Mosley. Tickets for this event were sold only through Steven Books, a fascist distributor, or through the magazines Candour and Spearhead.
- In 1986 he wrote a letter to Spearhead, the magazine of the NationalFront (a fascist organisation) which was published in that magazine.

No, dear reader, I kid you not. For the benefit of future generations, Frank Bruno is black and a miscegenist to boot, but leaving that aside this letter has nothing whatsoever to do either with "fascism" or racism, or politics for that matter. As well as being a student of extremist politics and an obsessive letter writer (24) Taha is a boxing fan. For some reason that totally eludes me he is also a Frank Bruno fan. (25) Leaving that aside, is Gable such a total arsehole that he would have stood up in court and branded me a fascist because one of my friends writes a letter to a newspaper in defence of a black boxer?

Like I said, dear reader, it is scum, filth and lowlife like Gable who decide who is a fascist, who is a racist, who is an anti-Semite, who should have free speech or any sort of platform. Him and his Kosher buddies. (26) There is a real fascist menace in this country, and part of that fascist menace is Gable and Jews like him, although a great deal of the fascistic legislation and increasing state repression has little or nothing to do with race or racial issues.

Probably the greatest threat to liberty here and elsewhere is the computerised identity card. As I write these words there is a proposal to totally overhaul Britain's anti-rabies laws. One of the "safeguards" to be introduced to counter rabies in future is that your pooch will have a microchip implanted in its neck. The lunatic fringe has suggested for some time that our masters - the Illuminati - are plotting to implant microchips in all our necks. Unfortunately, these ideas have now gone well beyond the lunatic fringe; a recent article in the Sunday press revealed that we are already watched by a million closed circuit television cameras. (27) Many or most of these are privately owned, but it wouldn't take too much imagination to connect all of them - and a great deal more to a central government control centre complete with zoom facilities, face recognition software and powerful microphones.

And as David Icke, supposedly the biggest loony of the *New Age*, writes: "Today if you go into a shop to buy food and your credit card is refused by the computer, you can pay with cash. What happens when there is no cash? You are at the mercy of the computer. If it refuses your card or microchip, you have no means to purchase anything." (28)

If and when this new repression is instituted, and as things are going it will soon be upon us, no one will be exempt, including the Jews like Gable and his hatemongering co-racialists at 37b New Cavendish Street and Woburn House, who have been instrumental in destroying the precious freedoms our fathers and grandfathers supposedly fought Hitler to defend. It was Tolstoy who wrote "The Jew is the pioneer of liberty". (29) It may well be that the People of the Book were instrumental in securing our liberties, but as Gable and his gang of Aryan-hating ghetto sweepings forever remind us, if the Jew is indeed the pioneer of liberties, he is also the pioneer of taking them.

Notes And References

- (1) Lowles makes this candid admission in the January 1999 issue, in an article *Down among the dead men*, which, surprisingly, is not about Belsen concentration camp.
- (2) Searchlight lottery prize, by Karen Glaser, published in the Jewish Chronicle, January 22, 1999, page 9. According to this report, the Searchlight Educational Trust is to receive a £156,000 grant from the National Lotteries Board. To all intents and purposes the Searchlight Educational Trust is the same entity as Searchlight magazine.
- (3) Gerry Gable steps down as editor of Searchlight, by Bernard Josephs, published in the Jewish Chronicle, February 19, 1999, page 10.
- (4) Mind-Interview: What-if stories, by Andrew Billen, published in the Observer [CD-ROM], November 17, 1996, REV PAGE: 11.
- (5) Relentless searchlight on hunting the hated, by David Winner, published in the Jewish Chronicle, October 23, 1987, page 10.
- (6) After contributing a pro-Zionist article to League Review * Sweet Sonia was assailed by C. Horner of Peterborough in the August 1978 READER RESPONSE column in the following unflattering terms: "I am heartily sick and tired of Jew S. Hochfelder's ceaseless efforts to force this troublesome tribe of self 'chosen'

people down our throats...Must their infiltration, whining and take-over he put up with even in the last hastions of white-racial circles?"

- * The theoretical journal of the League of St. George.
- (7) Treifah means unworthy of consumption by a Jew, which is what the Jewish Chronicle is too, for the most part.
- (8) This claim appears to be genuine.
- (9) THE JEWISH CONTRIBUTION TO CIVILISATION, by Cecil Roth, published by Horovitz Publishing/East And West Library, London, (1956), page 26.
- (10) Letter from P. Stafford, Royal Air Force Personnel Management Centre Headquarters, RAF Innsworth, dated "2 Mar 95".
- (11) In civil litigation with the current writer.
- (12) In the same civil action.
- (13) THE BRITISH POLITICAL FRINGE: A Profile, by George Thayer, published by Anthony Blond, London, (1965), page 90. Author Thayer doesn't put it quite like that, but it doesn't take much to read between the lines.
- (14) The words "I disapprove of what you say, but I will defend to the death your right to say it", are generally and fallaciously attributed to Jean François Arouet (1694-1778), who is better known as Voltaire, see for example *THEY NEVER SAID IT "A Book of Fake Quotes, Misquotes, and Misleading Attributions*, by Paul F. Boller, Jr. and John George, published by Oxford University Press, Oxford, (1989), pages 124-6.
- (15) I don't like using this word because strictly speaking there is no such thing as the *Aryan* race, but if only by dint of constant repetition, it has come to mean something.
- (16) Two weeks ago I turned on my TV one morning and witnessed two remarkable examples of such *racism* in action. One was of rioting in Northern Ireland white on white The other was from Sierra Leone where two young girls, both as black as the ace of spades, had each had a hand hacked off with machetes by "rebels". The mentality of a man who will hack off a child's hand is quite beyond my imagination.
- (17) Due in large part it must be said to my own incompetence. I had foolishly imagined that bringing a libel action would be as easy as bringing a small claims action in the County Court; it most certainly is not!
- (18) Gable smeared me in the November 1993 issue of Searchlight and again in the February 1994 issue.
- (19) With reference to the other two people named on pages 5-6, neither are fascists. Mrs Page is a highly respectable traditional Conservative; she had by this time been active in the anti-immigration movement for many years, which, according to Gable's perverted logic, is tantamount to Nazism. With regard to Kitty Little, the kindest thing one can say about this extraordinarily erudite woman is that she is completely off her rocker.
- (20) Anti-facist editor cleared of violence, by Sarah Boseley, published in the Guardian, July 24, 1992, page 2.
- (21) According to the current writer's information, from someone else who attended the meeting, Gable waved his press pass while the thugs who invaded the meeting did so with forged tickets.
- (22) My source gave a more plausible explanation, when the speaker, the elderly Jeffrey Hamm, was knocked to the floor, Gable panicked and attempted to bring his thugs under control.
- (23) A phrase once used to his eternal regret, no doubt by John Tyndall. And by Martin Webster, and, no doubt, by a few others.
- (24) At the time of writing he boasts of having over three hundred in print!
- (25) I have to confess that I attended Bruno's failed world championship fight with Tim Witherspoon. To date, that and a Gary Stretch fight (whom I once interviewed) are the only boxing matches I have ever attended.
- (26) Surprisingly, in the April 1999 issue of *Searchlight*, Gable diagrees with the lunatic recommendation of the recently published Macpherson report into the murder of black teenager Stephen Lawrence that *racist* language should be made a criminal offence even if used in private. Or perhaps it's not so surprising if one considers the ramifications for some of his "moles".
- (27) Smile, you're on 300 candid cameras..., by Dipesh Gadher, published in the Sunday Times, February 14, 1999, page 1.5. This is an amazing article which claims that in urban areas the average British citizen can be recorded on 300 CCTV cameras during his normal working day. The article begins "PRIVACY outside the home is almost extinct." It certainly is.
- (28) "and the truth shall set you free: The most explosive book of the 20th Century", by David Icke, published by Bridge of Love, Ryde, Isle of Wight, (1995), page 397.
- (29) THE JEWS: SOME PLAIN FACTS, published by Woburn Press, London, (1941), page 52.

Published by InfoText Manuscripts,

c/o 93c Venner Road, Sydenham, London SE26 5HU. England.

ISBN 1871473497